

Level 1    IA#: 24-0081    Received: Feb 14, 2024 22:00

**Employees involved:**

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Snapshot - employee information at time of incident:**

IBM: [REDACTED]  
Role: Involved Officer  
Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol  
Shift: SF3  
Rank/title: Police Officer  
Age: 27    Years of employment: 3    Years with unit: 0  
Off duty:    Off duty employed:

**Allegations:**

Collection of Physical Evidence G.O. 1400  
Mar 28, 2024 - Resigned/Retired before investigation complete

**Police Officer Jeffrey Charles Johnson-Dean [6806]**

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD-Stetson Hills 4th Division  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Snapshot - employee information at time of incident:**

IBM: 6806  
Role: Involved Officer  
Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol  
Shift: SF3  
Rank/title: Police Officer  
Age: 37    Years of employment: 4    Years with unit: 0  
Off duty:    Off duty employed:

**Allegations:**

Collection of Physical Evidence G.O. 1400  
May 01, 2024 - Not Sustained

**Employee complainants:**

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Employee witnesses:**

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section:

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD - Sand Creek  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Employee current info:**

Bureau: Patrol  
Division: PD-Stetson Hills 4th Division  
Unit/Section: Patrol

**Witnesses:**

Linked address(s):

Linked phone(s):

Linked address(s):

Linked phone(s):

**Summary:**

Per the Sand Creek Commander, this case has been requested to be investigated as a Level 2.

On 2/8/2024 at approximately 0119 hours, Officers from the Colorado Springs Police Department were dispatched to [REDACTED] for a suspicious circumstance call for service. The investigation revealed that officers contacted a subject who was a security guard who had pursued another person in his security vehicle until the vehicle crashed at the end of [REDACTED]. The driver of the vehicle got out and the security guard chased him around his vehicle and eventually tased him with a taser.

I was contacted in the mid-morning of 2/8/2024 and informed that the taser was missing and was not in evidence. I was instructed to respond to work to conduct follow up in an attempt to locate the missing taser which was a critical piece of evidence in a felony assault investigation. I conducted follow up and learned the following information.

During the initial call for service, the taser was located on scene and was recovered by Officer J. Johnson-Dean/6806. Officer Johnson-Dean stated that he placed the taser on the hood of [REDACTED] marked patrol vehicle. Officer Johnson-Dean stated that he informed [REDACTED] that he placed the taser on the hood of [REDACTED] patrol vehicle. Officer Johnson-Dean's BWC was

not on and recording during this alleged verbal communication between officers regarding the taser.

██████████ stated that he never saw the taser on the hood of his patrol vehicle and he stated that Officer Johnson-Dean never told him that he placed the taser on the hood of his patrol vehicle. BWC video from ██████████ shows that he stops and looks at the taser that is in the middle of the front hood of a marked Dodge Charger police vehicle. To my knowledge, ██████████ was the only officer on scene that was driving a Dodge Charger, all other officers were driving either Dodge Durango or Chevrolet Tahoe SUV's as their marked police vehicles.

Other department personnel conducted follow up in attempts to locate the taser prior to the time that I was contacted and instructed to respond to the substation and conduct further follow up. That follow up consisted of checking evidence bags under the associated case number to ensure that the taser was not in evidence and just possibly mismarked. Other officers responded to the scene during daylight hours to conduct an article search in the area in an attempt to find the taser. The taser was not found in evidence and was not located during the article search.

The taser is a critical piece of evidence in a felony assault investigation. The misplacement of the taser is concerning due to the circumstances surrounding it's disappearance. I requested that ██████████ conduct follow up with the security guard's fiance because he was also an owner of the security company and he arrived on scene while officers were still investigating. I requested that ██████████ contact him to find out if he possibly walked over to the marked police vehicle when officers were busy doing other tasks and took the taser since the taser was actual property of the security company. ██████████ was not able to make contact with the owner of the security company.

The security guard was identified as ██████████, DOB: 5/17/2003. The victim who was tased was identified as ██████████, DOB: 5/24/1990. The fiance of ██████████ was identified as ██████████, DOB: 7/8/1990 and he owns ██████████ in Colorado Springs.

This case was documented under CSPD Case ██████████

---

On 03/21/24 I (Lt. Tim Stankey 1416) in took this complaint. I located relevant BWC footage and classified it as Complaint related.

---

On 03/26/24 I, Sgt. Ryan Jacobsen 2304, was informed by Lt. Stankey that DC Rosenoff approved this case to be suspended pending the outcome of case ██████████.

---

On 03/28/24 I (Lt. Tim Stankey 1416) was advised by HR that ██████████ had submitted his resignation effective on 03/30/24. After a discussion with Commander Velasquez, it was determined that this case was now more appropriately a level 1 investigation and could be completed by the chain of command. I changed the investigation to a level 1 and it was sent to Commander Velasquez for assignment.

**Investigative tasks:**

| Due dt                   | Done dt | Type                         |
|--------------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| Apr 27, 2024             |         | LI-Investigate Memo/Case Due |
| Assigned To: Un-assigned |         |                              |
| May 27, 2024             |         | LI-Admin Insight Meeting     |
| Assigned To: Un-assigned |         |                              |
| Jun 10, 2024             |         | LI-Admin Insight Form Due    |
| Assigned To: Un-assigned |         |                              |
| Jun 26, 2024             |         | L1-Case due back to IA       |
| Assigned To: Un-assigned |         |                              |

**When/where:**

Date/time occurred: Feb 08, 2024 01:19

Incident location: [REDACTED] Precinct: Sand Creek  
County: 3-9