

CITIZEN INQUIRY REPORT

☐ Clarification ☐ Inquiry ☒ Complaint ☐ Compliment			
Nature of Incident		Location of Occurrence	
Suspicious vehicle, business alarm		Round 2 Sports Tavern, 2695 W 92 nd Ave. Federal Heights, CO 802620	
Date/Time Occurred	Date/Time Reported	FHPD Report Number	
01-06-2026 / 0208	01-06-2026 / 1524	260000470	
		Internal Affairs Control Number	
Involved Employee(s) or Employee Description			Employee I.D. Number
Chad Gallegos			2108
Codes: RP (Reporting Party) PA (Person Affected) W (Witness)			
Code	Name/Date of Birth		
RP			
Address:			
H-Phone/W-Phone: /			
Code	Name/Date of Birth		
	/		
Address:			
H-Phone/W-Phone: /			
Code	Name/Date of Birth		
	/		
Address:			
H-Phone/W-Phone: /			
Incident Summary: See below summary.			
Signature & Badge # of Interviewer		Signature of Reporting Party (Optional)	
Follow-Up Assignment or Outcome of Inquiry		Reviewed By	
Closed, unfounded		Employee: _____ Commander: _____ Chief: _____	

Incident Summary:

On 01-06-2026, at 1524 hours, (RP) [REDACTED] was contacted in the lobby of the police because he wished to file a complaint against [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and Sgt. Gallegos. Mr. [REDACTED] alleged that these officers illegally arrested him, searched and towed his vehicle.

Initially, Mr. [REDACTED] only requested information on how to obtain the towing contract the city held with Red's Towing. I informed him of the process to obtain that document. He then asked for a copy of the tow sheet, and I instructed him to complete a records request, and we would release it to him. Mr. [REDACTED] only elected to obtain a copy of the tow contract. While Mr. [REDACTED] was at the clerk's office, I received information that he was making staff uncomfortable, so I responded to the city lobby and again contacted Mr. [REDACTED]. Mr. [REDACTED] then told me that he believed his arrest was illegal while also acknowledging that he knew he had a traffic warrant. Mr. [REDACTED] explained that he was a patron of Round 2 Sports Tavern and had left the establishment at closing time. After the bar closed he walked back to his vehicle which is a small box truck that he lives in. Mr. [REDACTED] stated he was getting food out of the back when he was contacted by police. Mr. [REDACTED] stated he was told by the officers that they were investigating a burglary call at the bar, and they were contacting him because of that call. Mr. [REDACTED] was arrested after the discovery of his warrant. He stated that after being arrested officers searched his vehicle and he felt that was an illegal search and he was upset because they also had his vehicle impounded. Mr. [REDACTED] stated he tried to get access to his vehicle so he could prove ownership, but Red's Towing refused to allow him access. Before the conversation ended Mr. [REDACTED] informed me that he is a "Sovern Citizen" and does not recognize municipal and state law due to supreme court case law that says its invalid. This concluded my contact with Mr. [REDACTED] and is only a synopsis of that conversation.

After speaking to Mr. [REDACTED], I reviewed the BWC from this arrest and verified officers were initially on scene at the bar due to a business alarm. During their investigation they checked the rear parking lot where they located Mr. [REDACTED]. Officers contacted him in the lot, and he can be seen briefly entering the driver's side door of his vehicle while officers were attempting to contact him. Mr. [REDACTED] did follow officer's commands after he closed the door to his vehicle. Mr. [REDACTED] was not taken into custody until officers learned he had an arrest

warrant. Officers were professional and courteous to Mr. [REDACTED] during the entire encounter and Ofc. [REDACTED] specifically went out of his way to answer questions and engage with Mr. [REDACTED] even when he became difficult and abrasive. Officers searched and impounded the vehicle. When I asked them for justification officers explained that the vehicle was not registered with Mr. [REDACTED], and they could not prove ownership. The officers were concerned with leaving an unregistered vehicle in the parking lot where it could be vandalized or personal belongings stolen. Officers elected to impound the vehicle for safe keeping until Mr. [REDACTED] could prove ownership and have his vehicle returned to him. Their search was limited to an inventory of the vehicle.

After a review of all available information, the officers acted within the color of their authority and provided Mr. [REDACTED] with professional and ethical treatment during their contact.

Complaint closed, unfounded.